arXiv:1603.09501v2 [math.OC] 14 Jul 2016

Null boundary controllability of a one-dimensional heat equation with an internal point mass and variable coefficients

Jamel Ben Amara * Hedi Bouzidi †

Abstract: In this paper we consider a linear hybrid system which composed by two non-homogeneous rods connected by a point mass and generated by the equations

$$\begin{cases} \rho_1(x)u_t = (\sigma_1(x)u_x)_x - q_1(x)u, & x \in (-1,0),\ t>0,\\ \rho_2(x)v_t = (\sigma_2(x)v_x)_x - q_2(x)v, & x \in (0,1),\quad t>0,\\ u(0,t) = v(0,t) = z(t), & t>0,\\ Mz_t(t) = \sigma_2(0)v_x(0,t) - \sigma_1(0)u_x(0,t), & t>0, \end{cases}$$

with Dirichlet boundary condition on the left end $x=-1$ and a boundary control acts on the right end $x=1$. We prove that this system is null controllable with Dirichlet or Neumann boundary controls. Our approach is mainly based on a detailed spectral analysis together with the moment method. In particular, we show that the associated spectral gap in both cases (Dirichlet or Neumann boundary controls) are positive without further conditions on the coefficients ρ_i, σ_i and q_i $(i=1,2)$ other than the regularities.

Keywords. Heat equation, nonhomogeneous, point masses, boundary control, moments.

AMS subject classification. 35K05, 93B05, 93B55, 93B60.

1 Introduction

The null controllability of parabolic equations has been extensively investigated for several decades. After the pioneering works by D. Russell and H. Fattorini [18, 19], there is a significant progress in the N-dimensional case by using Carleman estimates,

*Faculté des Sciences de Tunis, Département de Mathématiques, Laboratoire d'Ingénierie Mathématique, Ecole Polytechnique de Tunisie, Université de Carthage, Avenue de la République, Bp 77, 1054 Amilcar, Tunisia, jamel.benamara@fsb.rnu.tn.

†Faculté des Sciences de Tunis, Département de Mathématiques and Laboratoire d'Ingénierie Mathématique, Ecole Polytechnique de Tunisie , Tunisia, bouzidihedi@yahoo.fr.

see in particular [11]. The more recent developments of the theory are concerned with degenerate coefficients [6, 7], discontinuous coefficients [1, 2, 9], or singular coefficients see in particular [22].
In this paper we consider a one-dimensional linear hybrid system which composed by two non-homogeneous rods connected at $x = 0$ by a point mass. We assume that the first rod occupies the interval $(-1, 0)$ and the second one occupies the interval $(0, 1)$. The temperature of the first and the second rod will be respectively presented by the functions

$$\begin{aligned} u &= u(x,t), \quad x \in (-1,0), \quad t > 0, \\ v &= v(x,t), \quad x \in (0,1), \quad\ \ t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The position of the mass $M > 0$ attached to the rods at the point $x = 0$ is denoted by the function $z = z(t)$ for $t > 0$. The equations modeling the dynamic of this system are the followings

$$\begin{cases} \rho_1(x)u_t = (\sigma_1(x)u_x)_x - q_1(x)u, & x \in (-1,0),\ t > 0, \\ \rho_2(x)v_t = (\sigma_2(x)v_x)_x - q_2(x)v, & x \in (0,1), \quad t > 0, \\ u(0,t) = v(0,t) = z(t), & t > 0, \\ Mz_t(t) = \sigma_2(0)v_x(0,t) - \sigma_1(0)u_x(0,t), & t > 0, \\ u(-1,t) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

with either Dirichlet boundary control

$$v(1,t) = h(t),\ t > 0, \tag{1.2}$$

or Neumann boundary control

$$v_x(1,t) = h(t), \quad t > 0. \tag{1.3}$$

In System (1.1) the first two equations are the one-dimensional heat equation. The third equation imposes the continuity of the three components of the system at $x = 0$. The fourth equation describes the change in temperature of the point mass at $x = 0$. The coefficients $\rho_i(x)$ and $\sigma_i(x)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ represent respectively the density and thermal conductivity of each rod. The potentials are assumed positively and denoted by the functions $q_1(x)$ and $q_2(x)$. Similar hybrid systems involving strings and beams with point masses have been studied in the context of controllability (see e.g.,[4, 5, 23]). Throughout this paper, we assume that the coefficients ρ_i, σ_i and q_i $(i = 1, 2)$ are uniformly positive such that

$$\rho_1,\ \sigma_1 \in H^2(-1,0),\ q_1 \in C(-1,0), \tag{1.4}$$

$$\rho_2,\ \sigma_2 \in H^2(0,1),\ q_2 \in C(0,1). \tag{1.5}$$

In order to determine the solution of Systems (1.1)-(1.2) and (1.1)-(1.3) in an unique way, we have to add some initial conditions at time $t = 0$ that will be represented by

$$\begin{cases} u(x,0) = u^0(x), & x \in (-1,0), \\ v(x,0) = v^0(x), & x \in (0,1), \\ z(0) = z^0, \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

where the triple $\{u^0, v^0, z^0\}$ will be given in an appropriately defined function space. According to the results in [12], the solutions of the System (1.1) with the homogenous Dirichlet boundary condition

$$v(1,t) = 0, \quad t > 0, \tag{1.7}$$

can be regarded as weak limits of solutions of a heat equations with densities $\rho_1(x)$, $\rho_2(x)$ on the intervals $(-1,-\epsilon)$ and $(\epsilon,1)$, respectively and with the density $\frac{1}{2\epsilon}$ on the interval $(-\epsilon,\epsilon)$.
Note that when $M = 0$, we recover the continuity condition of u_x at $x = 0$ and the classical heat equation with variable coefficients occupying the interval $(-1,1)$ without point mass. In this context, the question of the null controllability of Problems (1.1)-(1.2) and (1.1)-(1.3) (for $M = 0$) have been treated in the seminal papers [18, 19] and also [9, 15] for $q = 0$, $\sigma = 1$ and some additional conditions on the density $\rho(x)$. Recently, Hansen and Martinez [13] studied the boundary controllability of Systems (1.1)-(1.2) and (1.1)-(1.3) in the case of constant coefficients $\rho_i(x) \equiv \sigma_i(x) \equiv 1$, $q_i(x) \equiv 0$, $(i = 1, 2)$ and $M = 1$. They proved the null boundary controllability of Problems (1.1)-(1.2) and (1.1)-(1.3) by using the moment method.
In this paper we prove the null controllability of Systems (1.1)-(1.2) and (1.1)-(1.3) at any time $T > 0$. Our approach is essentially based on a precise computation of the associated spectral gap together with the moment method. More precisely, we show that the sequence of eigenvalues $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ and $(\nu_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ associated with systems (1.1)-(1.2) and (1.1)-(1.3), respectively, satisfy the gap conditions $\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \geq \delta_1 > 0$, $\nu_{n+1} - \nu_n \geq \delta_2 > 0$, $n \geq 1$, without further conditions on ρ_i, σ_i and q_i, $(i = 1, 2)$ other than the regularities. In the process of the computation of the spectral gap, we establish an interpolation formula between the eigenvalues of System (1.1)-(1.2) and the eigenvalues of the regular problem (1.1)-(1.2) for $M = 0$. We think that this result can be useful for other problems related to Systems (1.1)-(1.2) and (1.1)-(1.3) without controls.
The rest of the paper is divided in the following way: In Section 2 we give some basic results concerning the well-posedness of system (1.1) with the homogenous Dirichlet boundary condition (1.7). In the next section we prove the asymptotic properties of the spectrum that are needed to determining the existence of the biorthogonal sequence $(\theta_n(t))_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ to the family of exponential functions $(e^{-\lambda_n t})_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$. In Section 4 we reduce the control problem (1.1)-(1.2) to a moment problem and prove the null controllability of System (1.1)-(1.2). Finally, in Section 5 we extend our results to the case of Neumann boundary control (1.1)-(1.3).

2 Operator framework and well-posedness

In this section we investigate the well-posedness of System (1.1) with the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition (1.7). In order to state the main results of this section,

it is convenient to introduce the following spaces

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{V}_1 = \{u \in H^1(-1,0) \ \mid \ u(-1) = 0\} \\
&\mathcal{V}_2 = \{v \in H^1(0,1) \ \mid \ v(1) = 0\} \\
&\mathcal{V} = \{(u,v) \in \mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathcal{V}_2 \ \mid \ u(0) = v(0)\}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

endowed with the norms

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u\|^2_{\mathcal{V}_1} = \int_{-1}^{0} |u_x(x)|^2 dx, \\
&\|v\|^2_{\mathcal{V}_2} = \int_{0}^{1} |v_x(x)|^2 dx, \\
&\|(u,v)\|^2_{\mathcal{V}} = \|u\|^2_{\mathcal{V}_1} + \|v\|^2_{\mathcal{V}_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the following closed subspace of $\mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathcal{W} = \{(u,v,z) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{R} : u(0) = v(0) = z\}, \tag{2.2}$$

equipped with norm $\|(u,v,z)\|^2_{\mathcal{W}} = \|(u,v)\|^2_{\mathcal{V}}$. Let us define the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H} = L^2(-1,0) \times L^2(0,1) \times \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.3}$$

with the scalar product $\langle ./. \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ defined by
for all $Y_1 = (u_1, v_1, \alpha_1)^t$ and $Y_2 = (u_2, v_2, \alpha_2)^t \in \mathcal{H}$, where ^{t} denotes the transposition, we have

$$\langle Y_1, Y_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{-1}^{0} u_1(x) u_2(x) \rho_1(x) dx + \int_{0}^{1} v_1(x) v_2(x) \rho_2(x) dx + M \alpha_1 \alpha_2. \tag{2.4}$$

It is easy to show that the space $\mathcal{W}$ is densely and continuously embedded in the space $\mathcal{H}$. In the sequel we introduce the operator $\mathcal{A}$ defined in $\mathcal{H}$ by setting

$$\mathcal{A}Y = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\rho_1}(-(\sigma_1 u')' + q_1 u), & x \in [-1,0], \\ \frac{1}{\rho_2}(-(\sigma_2 v')' + q_2 v), & x \in [0,1], \\ \frac{1}{M}(\sigma_1(0)u'(0) - \sigma_2(0)v'(0)), & x = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

where $Y = (u,v,z)^t$. The domain $D(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$ and is given by

$$D(\mathcal{A}) = \{Y = (u,v,z) \in \mathcal{W} \ : \ (u,v) \in H^2(-1,0) \times H^2(0,1)\}.$$

Proposition 2.1 *The linear operator $\mathcal{A}$ is a positive and a self-adjoint operator such that $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$ is compact. Moreover, $\mathcal{A}$ is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $(\mathbf{S}_t)_{t \geq 0}$.*

Proof. Let $Y = (u, v, z)^t \in D(\mathcal{A})$, then by a simple integration by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathcal{A}Y, Y\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \int_{-1}^{0}(-(\sigma_1(x)u^{'})^{'} + q_1(x)u)udx + \int_{0}^{1}(-(\sigma_2(x)v^{'})^{'} + q_2(x)v)vdx + Mz_t z \\ &= \int_{-1}^{0}\sigma_1(x)|u^{'}|^2dx + q_1(x)|u|^2dx + \int_{0}^{1}\sigma_2(x)|v^{'}|^2dx + q_2(x)|v|^2dx \qquad (2.6)\end{aligned}$$

since $\sigma_i > 0$ and $q_i > 0$ (i=1,2) then $\langle \mathcal{A}Y, Y\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} > 0$.
It is clear that the form quadratic has real values so the linear operator $\mathcal{A}$ is symmetric.
In order to show that this operator is self-adjoint it suffices to show that
$Ran(\mathcal{A} - iId) = \mathcal{H}$.
It is easy to show that the space $\mathcal{W}$ is continuously and compactly embedded in the space $\mathcal{H}$, and hence, the operator $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$ is compact in $\mathcal{H}$. □

We consider the following spectral problem which arises by applying separation of variables to System (1.1)-(1.7)

$$\begin{cases} -(\sigma_1(x)u')' + q_1(x)u = \lambda\rho_1(x)u, & x \in (-1, 0), \\ -(\sigma_2(x)v')' + q_2(x)v = \lambda\rho_2(x)v, & x \in (0, 1), \\ u(-1) = v(1) = 0,\ u(0) = v(0), \\ \sigma_1(0)u'(0) - \sigma_2(0)v'(0) = \lambda Mu(0). \end{cases} \qquad (2.7)$$

Lemma 2.2 *The spectrum of System* (2.7) *is discrete. It consists of an increasing sequence of positive and simple eigenvalues* $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ *tending to* $+\infty$

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < ..... < \lambda_n < ..... \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \infty.$$

Moreover, the corresponding eigenfunctions $(\phi_n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ *form an orthonormal basis in* $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Here we have only to prove the simplicity of the eigenvalues λ_n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Let $u(x, \lambda)$ and $v(x, \lambda)$ be the solutions of the initial value problems

$$\begin{cases} -(\sigma_1(x)u')' + q_1(x)u = \lambda\rho_1(x)u, & x \in (-1,\ 0), \\ u'(-1) = 1, u(-1) = 0, \end{cases} \qquad (2.8)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} -(\sigma_2(x)v')' + q_2(x)v = \lambda\rho_2(x)v, & x \in (0,\ 1), \\ v(1) = 0, v'(1) = -1, \end{cases} \qquad (2.9)$$

respectively. Let λ be an eigenvalue of the operator $\mathcal{A}$ and E_λ be the corresponding eigenspace. For any eigenfunction $\phi(x, \lambda)$ of E_λ, $\phi(x, \lambda)$ can be written in the form

$$\phi(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} c_1u(x, \lambda), & -1 \le x \le 0, \\ c_2v(x, \lambda), & 0 \le x \le 1, \end{cases} \qquad (2.10)$$

where c_1 and c_2 are two constants. The second condition at $x = 0$ in (2.7) is equivalent to

$$c_1 u(0,\lambda) = c_2 v(0,\lambda).$$

If $u(0,\lambda) \neq 0$ and $v(0,\lambda) \neq 0$, then $c_1 = c_2 \frac{v(0,\lambda)}{u(0,\lambda)}$ and $Dim(E_\lambda) = 1$.
If $u(0,\lambda) = 0$ and $v(0,\lambda) \neq 0$ (or $u(0,\lambda) \neq 0$ and $v(0,\lambda) = 0$), then

$$\phi(x,\lambda) = \begin{cases} c_1 u(x,\lambda), & -1 \leq x \leq 0, \\ 0 & 0 \leq x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

It is clear from the last condition in Problem (2.7) that λ is not an eigenvalue.
Now, if $u(0,\lambda) = v(0,\lambda) = 0$, then from the last condition in (2.7) we have

$$c_1 \sigma_1(0) u'(0) - c_2 \sigma_2(0) v'(0) = 0.$$

Since $u'(0,\lambda) \neq 0$ and $v'(0,\lambda) \neq 0$, then $c_1 = c_2 \frac{\sigma_2(0)v'(0,\lambda)}{\sigma_1(0)u'(0,\lambda)}$ and $Dim(E_\lambda) = 1$.
Since the operator $\mathcal{A}$ is self-adjoint then the algebraic multiplicity of λ is equal to one. □

Obviously, the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.7) can be rewritten in the abstract form

$$\dot{Y}(t) = -\mathcal{A}Y(t), \quad Y^0 = Y(0), \quad t > 0, \tag{2.11}$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is defined in (2.5) and $Y^0 = (u(x,0), v(x,0), z(0))^t$. As a consequence of Proposition 2.1, we have the following existence and uniqueness result for the problem (1.1)-(1.7):

Proposition 2.3 *Let $Y^0 = (u^0, v^0, z^0) \in \mathcal{H}$. Then the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.7) has a unique solution $Y = (u, v, z) \in C^0([0,\infty), \mathcal{H})$. Moreover, if $Y^0 \in D(\mathcal{A})$, then $Y \in C^0([0,\infty), D(\mathcal{A}))$.*

According to Proposition 2.1, the operator $\mathcal{A}$ is positive and selfadjoint, and hence, it generates a scale of Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_\theta = D(\mathcal{A}^\theta)$, $\theta \geq 0$. In particular $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2}} = D(\mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{2}})$. The norm in $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|^2_{\frac{1}{2}} &= \langle \mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{2}} y, \mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{2}} y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \int_{-1}^{0} \sigma_1(x) |u'|^2 + q_1(x)|u|^2 dx + \int_0^1 \sigma_2(x)|v'|^2 + q_2(x)|v|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

Our assumptions on $\sigma_i(x)$ and $q_i(x)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ imply that, $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is topologically equivalent to the subspace $\mathcal{W}$ (where $\mathcal{W}$ is defined by (2.2)).

3 Spectral gap and asymptotic proprieties

In this section we investigate the asymptotic behavior of the spectral gap $\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n$ for large n. Namely, we enunciate the following result:

Theorem 3.1 *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there is a constant $\delta_1 > 0$ such that the sequence of eigenvalues $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq 1}$ of the spectral problem (2.7) satisfy the asymptotic*

$$\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \geq \delta_1, \ n \in \mathbb{N}^*. \tag{3.1}$$

In order to prove this theorem, we establish some preliminary results. Let $\Gamma = \{\mu_n\}_1^\infty = \{\eta_j\}_1^\infty \bigcup \{\eta'_k\}_1^\infty$ where η_j and η'_k are the eigenvalues of the problems

$$\begin{cases} -(\sigma_1(x)y')' + q_1(x)y = \lambda\rho_1(x)y, x \in (-1,\, 0), \\ y(-1) = y(0) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} -(\sigma_2(x)y')' + q_2(x)y = \lambda\rho_2(x)y, x \in (0,\, 1), \\ y(0) = y(1) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

respectively. Obviously, η_j and η'_k can be coincide. Let

$$\Gamma^* = \{\mu_n \in \Gamma \setminus \eta_j = \eta'_k, \ j, \ k \in \mathbb{N}^*\}, \tag{3.4}$$

then the order of the eigenvalue μ_n is given by

$$n = \sum_{\eta_j < \mu_n} 1 + \sum_{\eta'_k < \mu_n} 1 - \sum_{\mu_n \in \Gamma^*} 1.$$

We consider the following boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -(\sigma_1(x)u')' + q_1(x)u = \lambda\rho_1(x)u, x \in (-1,\, 0), \\ -(\sigma_2(x)v')' + q_2(x)v = \lambda\rho_2(x)v, x \in (0,\, 1), \\ u(-1) = v(1) = 0, \\ u(0) = v(0). \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

It is clear that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus \Gamma^*$, the set of solutions of Problem (3.5) is one dimensional subspace which is generated by a solution of the form

$$\widetilde{U}(x,\lambda) = \begin{cases} v(0,\lambda)u(x,\lambda), & -1 \leq x \leq 0, \\ u(0,\lambda)v(x,\lambda), & 0 \leq x \leq 1, \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

where $u(x,\lambda)$ and $v(x,\lambda)$ are the solutions of the initial value problems (2.8) and (2.9), respectively. Note that $u(0,\lambda) \neq 0$ and $v(0,\lambda) \neq 0$ for $\lambda \in (\mu_n, \mu_{n+1})$, since otherwise λ would be an eigenvalue one of Problems (3.2) and (3.3). Let us introduce the variable complex function

$$F(\lambda) = \frac{\sigma_1(0)\widetilde{U}_x(0^-,\, \lambda) - \sigma_2(0)\widetilde{U}_x(0^+,\, \lambda)}{\widetilde{U}(0,\, \lambda)}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\Gamma,$$

which can be rewritten in the form

$$F(\lambda) = \frac{\sigma_1(0)v(0)u_x(0^-) - \sigma_2(0)u(0)v_x(0^+)}{u(0)v(0)}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\Gamma. \tag{3.7}$$

It is known in [17, Chapter.1], $u(x,\lambda)$ and $v(x,\lambda)$ are entire functions in λ and continuous on the intervals $[-1,0]$ and $[0,1]$, respectively. Therefore $F(\lambda)$ is a meromorphic function, their zeros are the eigenvalues of the regular spectral problem (2.7) (for $M=0$) and the poles are eigenvalues μ_n, $n\geq 1$ of the set Γ. Moreover, the solution of the equation

$$F(\lambda)=M\lambda \tag{3.8}$$

are the eigenvalues λ_n, $n\geq 1$ of Problem (2.7).

Lemma 3.2 *The function $F(\lambda)$ is decreasing along the intervals $(-\infty,\mu_1)$ and (μ_n,μ_{n+1}), $n\geq 1$ (with $\mu_n\neq\mu_{n+1}$). Furthermore, it decreases from $+\infty$ to $-\infty$.*

Proof. Let $(\lambda,\lambda')\in(\mu_n,\mu_{n+1})\times(\mu_n,\mu_{n+1})$ where $\lambda\neq\lambda'$ and $\widetilde{U}(x,\lambda)$, $\widetilde{U}(x,\lambda')$ are two solutions of Problem (3.5). Integrating by parts and taking into account the boundary conditions in (3.5)

$$\begin{cases} \int_{-1}^{0}(q_1-\lambda\rho_1)(x)u(x,\lambda)u(x,\lambda')+\sigma_1(x)u_x(x,\lambda)u_x(x,\lambda')dx=\sigma_1(0)u(0,\lambda')u_x(0^-,\lambda), \\ \int_{-1}^{0}(q_1-\lambda'\rho_1)(x)u(x,\lambda')u(x,\lambda)+\sigma_1(x)u_x(x,\lambda')u_x(x,\lambda)dx=\sigma_1(0)u(0,\lambda)u_x(0^-,\lambda'), \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \int_{0}^{1}(q_2-\lambda\rho_2)(x)v(x,\lambda)v(x,\lambda')+\sigma_2(x)v_x(x,\lambda)v_x(x,\lambda')dx=-\sigma_2(0)v(0,\lambda')v_x(0^+,\lambda), \\ \int_{0}^{1}(q_2-\lambda'\rho_2)(x)v(x,\lambda')v(x,\lambda)+\sigma_2(x)v_x(x,\lambda')v_x(x,\lambda)dx=-\sigma_2(0)v(0,\lambda)v_x(0^+,\lambda'). \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

Subtracting the two equations of Systems (3.9) and (3.10), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} (\lambda'-\lambda)\displaystyle\int_{-1}^{0}\rho_1(x)u(x,\lambda)u(x,\lambda')dx=\sigma_1(0)\left(u(0,\lambda')u_x(0^-,\lambda)-u(0,\lambda)u_x(0^-,\lambda')\right), \\ (\lambda'-\lambda)\displaystyle\int_{0}^{1}\rho_2(x)v(x,\lambda)v(x,\lambda')dx=\sigma_2(0)\left(v(0,\lambda)v_x(0^+,\lambda')-v(0,\lambda')v_x(0^+,\lambda)\right). \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda-\lambda')\int_{-1}^{0}\rho_2(x)u(x,\lambda)u(x,\lambda')dx &= \sigma_1(0)u(0,\lambda)\left(u_x(0^-,\lambda')-u_x(0^-,\lambda)\right) \\ &\quad -\sigma_1(0)u_x(0^-,\lambda)\left(u(0,\lambda')-u(0,\lambda)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda'-\lambda)\int_{0}^{1}\rho_2(x)v(x,\lambda)v(x,\lambda')dx &= \sigma_2(0)v(0,\lambda)\left(v_x(0^+,\lambda')-v_x(0^+,\lambda)\right) \\ &\quad -\sigma_2(0)v_x(0^+,\lambda)\left(v(0,\lambda')-v(0,\lambda)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Passing to the limit as $\lambda' \to \lambda$, we get the identity

$$\begin{cases} -\int_{-1}^{0} \rho_1(x)u^2(x,\lambda)dx = \sigma_1(0)\left(u(0,\lambda)\dfrac{\partial u_x(0^-,\lambda)}{\partial\lambda} - u_x(0^-,\lambda)\dfrac{\partial u(0,\lambda)}{\partial\lambda}\right), \\ -\int_{0}^{1} \rho_2(x)v^2(x,\lambda)dx = -\sigma_2(0)\left(v(0,\lambda)\dfrac{\partial v_x(0^+,\lambda)}{\partial\lambda} - v_x(0^+,\lambda)\dfrac{\partial v(0,\lambda)}{\partial\lambda}\right). \end{cases} \tag{3.14}$$

Dividing the first equation in (3.14) by $u^2(0,\lambda)$ and the second equation by $v^2(0,\lambda)$, it follows

$$\frac{\partial F(\lambda)}{\partial\lambda} = -\frac{v^2(0,\lambda)\int_{-1}^{0}\rho_1(x)u^2(x,\lambda)dx + u^2(0,\lambda)\int_{0}^{1}\rho_2(x)v^2(x,\lambda)dx}{u^2(0,\lambda)v^2(0,\lambda)} < 0. \tag{3.15}$$

In order to prove the second statement, we firstly establish the asymptotic of $F(\lambda)$ as $\lambda \to -\infty$. It is known (e.g., [10, Chapter. 2] and [17, Chapter. 1]), for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|\lambda| \to \infty$ that

$$\begin{cases} u(x,\lambda) = (\rho_1(x)\sigma_1(x))^{-\frac{1}{4}} \dfrac{\sin\left(\sqrt{\lambda}\int_{-1}^{x}\sqrt{\frac{\rho_1(t)}{\sigma_1(t)}}dt\right)}{\gamma_1\sqrt{|\lambda|}}[1], \\ u_x(x,\lambda) = \dfrac{(\rho_1(x))^{\frac{1}{4}}(\sigma_1(x))^{-\frac{3}{4}}}{\gamma_1}\cos\left(\sqrt{\lambda}\int_{-1}^{x}\sqrt{\frac{\rho_1(t)}{\sigma_1(t)}}dt\right)[1], \end{cases} \tag{3.16}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} v(x,\lambda) = (\rho_2(x)\sigma_2(x))^{-\frac{1}{4}} \dfrac{\sin\left(\sqrt{\lambda}\int_{x}^{1}\sqrt{\frac{\rho_2(t)}{\sigma_2(t)}}dt\right)}{\gamma_2\sqrt{|\lambda|}}[1], \\ v_x(x,\lambda) = -\dfrac{(\rho_2(x))^{\frac{1}{4}}(\sigma_2(x))^{-\frac{3}{4}}}{\gamma_2}\cos\left(\sqrt{\lambda}\int_{x}^{1}\sqrt{\frac{\rho_2(t)}{\sigma_2(t)}}dt\right)[1], \end{cases} \tag{3.17}$$

where $[1] = 1 + O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\lambda|}})$,

$$\gamma_1 = \int_{-1}^{0}\sqrt{\frac{\rho_1(x)}{\sigma_1(x)}}dx, \quad and \quad \gamma_2 = \int_{0}^{1}\sqrt{\frac{\rho_2(x)}{\sigma_2(x)}}dx. \tag{3.18}$$

By use of (3.16) and (3.17), a straightforward calculation gives the following asymptotic

$$F(\lambda) \sim \sqrt{|\lambda|}\left(\sqrt{\rho_1(0)\sigma_1(0)} + \sqrt{\rho_2(0)\sigma_2(0)}\right), \quad as \quad \lambda \to -\infty. \tag{3.19}$$

Now, we prove that

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\mu_n^+} F(\lambda) = +\infty, \qquad \lim_{\lambda\to\mu_{n+1}^-} F(\lambda) = -\infty. \tag{3.20}$$

If $u(0,\mu_n) = v(0,\mu_n)$=0, then

$$u(0,\mu_n)v_x(0,\mu_n) - u_x(0,\mu_n)v(0,\mu_n) = 0,$$

and this implies that μ_n is an eigenvalue of the regular problem (2.7) for $M = 0$. We put $\lambda = \mu_n + \epsilon$, where $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. Therefore, a simple calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} F(\lambda) = & \frac{\sigma_1(0)u_x(0,\mu_n)\frac{\partial v}{\partial \lambda}(0,\mu_n) - \sigma_2(0)v_x(0,\mu_n)\frac{\partial u}{\partial \lambda}(0,\mu_n)}{\epsilon \frac{\partial u}{\partial \lambda}(0,\mu_n)\frac{\partial v}{\partial \lambda}(0,\mu_n)} + O(1) \\ = & \quad \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(\frac{\sigma_1(0)u_x(0,\mu_n)}{\frac{\partial u}{\partial \lambda}(0,\mu_n)} - \frac{\sigma_2(0)v_x(0,\mu_n)}{\frac{\partial v}{\partial \lambda}(0,\mu_n)}\right) + O(1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Since μ_n is a simple eigenvalue of the two problems (3.2) and (3.3), then $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \lambda}(0,\mu_n) \neq 0$ and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \lambda}(0,\mu_n) \neq 0$. Let denote by

$$F_1(\lambda) = \frac{\sigma_1(0)u_x(0,\lambda)}{u(0,\lambda)} \quad and \quad F_2(\lambda) = \frac{\sigma_2(0)v_x(0,\lambda)}{v(0,\lambda)}.$$

It is easily seen that the eigenvalues η_j and η'_k ($j \geq 1, \quad k \geq 1$) are the poles of $F_1(\lambda)$ and $F_2(\lambda)$, respectively. In [3, Prop. 4], by use of Mittag-Lefleur theorem [[14], Chapter. 4], $F_1(\lambda)$ has the following decomposition

$$F_1(\lambda) = g(\lambda) + \sum_{j\geq 1}(\frac{\lambda}{\eta_j})\frac{c_j}{\lambda - \eta_j},$$

where $g(\lambda)$ is an entire function and c_j are the residuals of $F_1(\lambda)$ at the poles η_j, $j \geq 1$. It is known that the residuals of $F_1(\lambda)$ and $F_2(\lambda)$ (at the poles η_j and η'_k respectively) are given by

$$c_j = \frac{\sigma_1(0)u_x(0,\eta_j)}{\frac{\partial u}{\partial \lambda}(0,\eta_j)}, \quad c'_k = \frac{\sigma_2(0)v_x(0,\eta'_k)}{\frac{\partial v}{\partial \lambda}(0,\eta'_k)}.$$

According to the proof of Proposition 4 in [3], we have $c_j > 0$, $j \geq 1$. By a change of variable $s = -x$, if $v(x,\lambda)$ is a solution of Problem (2.9) then $v(-s,\lambda)$ is a solution of Problem (2.8), and hence $F_2(\lambda) = -\frac{\sigma_2(0)v_s(0,\lambda)}{v(0,\lambda)}$. Therefore $c'_k < 0$ for all $k \geq 1$ and

$$\frac{\sigma_1(0)u_x(0,\mu_n)}{\frac{\partial u}{\partial \lambda}(0,\mu_n)} - \frac{\sigma_2(0)v_x(0,\mu_n)}{\frac{\partial v}{\partial \lambda}(0,\mu_n)} > 0, \ n \geq 1.$$

Passing to the limit as $\epsilon \to 0$ in (3.21), we get the first limit in (3.20). In a similar way, we prove the second limit of (3.20).
Now, if $u(0,\mu_n) = 0$ and $v(0,\mu_n) \neq 0$ (or $v(0,\mu_n) = 0$ and $u(0,\mu_n) \neq 0$), then $\sigma_1(0)u_x(0,\mu_n) \neq 0$, and hence $\lim_{\lambda\to\mu_n^+} F(\lambda) = \pm\infty$. Since $F(\lambda)$ is a decreasing function in (μ_n, μ_{n+1}), then the first limit in (3.20) holds. The second limit in (3.20) is obtained analogously. □

From this Lemma, it is clear that the poles and the zeros of $F(\lambda)$ coincide with the eigenvalues $(\mu_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and the eigenvalues $(\lambda'_n)_{n\geq 1}$ of the regular problem (2.7) (for $M = 0$), respectively. As consequence of Lemma 3.2, it follows the following interpolation formulas:

Corollary 3.3 *Let λ'_n, $n \geq 1$ denote the eigenvalues of the regular problem* (2.7) *for* $M = 0$. *If* $\mu_n \neq \mu_{n+1}$, *then*

$$\lambda_1 < \lambda'_1 < \mu_1 \text{ and } \mu_n < \lambda_{n+1} < \lambda'_{n+1} < \mu_{n+1}, \ n \geq 1. \tag{3.22}$$

Proof. According to Lemma 3.2, $F(\lambda)$ is a decreasing function from $+\infty$ to $-\infty$ along each of the intervals $(-\infty, \mu_1)$ and (μ_n, μ_{n+1}), $n \geq 1$. Therefore Equation (3.8) has exactly one zero in each of these intervals. Moreover, the equation $F(\lambda) = 0$ has exactly one zero in each of intervals $(-\infty, \mu_1)$ and (μ_n, μ_{n+1}), $n \geq 1$. It is clear that these zeros (denoted by λ'_n) are the eigenvalues of the regular problem (2.7) for $M = 0$. Consequently, the interpolation properties are simple deductions from the curves of the functions $F(\lambda)$ and $M\lambda$ (see. Figure 1).



Figure 1: Interpolation properties

□

We are ready now to prove Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem3.1. First, we establish the asymptotic estimate

$$|\lambda_{n+1} - \mu_n| = O(1). \tag{3.23}$$

For $\lambda \in (\mu_n, \lambda_{n+1}]$, we put $G(\lambda) = \dfrac{1}{F(\lambda)}$. In view of Corollary (3.3) $(\mu_n, \lambda_{n+1}] \subset (\mu_n, \lambda'_{n+1})$ (it is clear that $\lambda'_{n+1} \neq \lambda_{n+1}$, since otherwise $\mu_n = \mu_{n+1}$). Hence, $\sigma_1(0)v(0,\lambda)u_x(0^-,\lambda) - \sigma_2(0)u(0,\lambda)v_x(0^+,\lambda) \neq 0$ for $\lambda \in (\mu_n, \lambda_{n+1}]$ and this implies that $G(\lambda)$ is well-defined in this interval. By use of the mean value theorem on the interval $[\lambda^\epsilon_n, \lambda_{n+1}]$, (where $\lambda^\epsilon_n = \mu_n + \epsilon$ for enough small $\epsilon > 0$), we have

$$G(\lambda_{n+1}) - G(\lambda^\epsilon_n) = (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda^\epsilon_n)\frac{\partial G(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \mid_{\lambda=\alpha_n},$$

for some $\alpha_n \in (\lambda^\epsilon_n, \lambda_{n+1})$. Using Equation (3.8) and the expression (3.15) of $\frac{\partial F(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda}$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{M\lambda_{n+1}} - G(\lambda^\epsilon_n) = (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda^\epsilon_n)\frac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda}(\alpha_n), \tag{3.24}$$

where

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda}(\alpha_n) = \frac{v^2(0,\alpha_n)\int_{-1}^{0}\rho_1(s)u^2(s,\alpha_n)ds + u^2(0,\alpha_n)\int_{0}^{1}\rho_2(s)v^2(s,\alpha_n)ds}{\Big(\sigma_1(0)v(0,\alpha_n)u_x(0,\alpha_n) - \sigma_2(0)u(0,\alpha_n)v_x(0,\alpha_n)\Big)^2}.$$

Taking into account the asymptotics (3.16) and (3.17), a simple calculation gives

$$\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n^{\epsilon} = C\alpha_n\left(\frac{1}{M\lambda_{n+1}} - G(\lambda_n^{\epsilon})\right), \tag{3.25}$$

where C is a positive constant. It is known [10, Chapter. 2], that the eigenvalues λ_n' (of Problem (2.7) for $M = 0$) satisfy the asymptotics

$$\lambda_n' = \left(\frac{n\pi}{\int_{-1}^{1}\sqrt{\frac{\rho(x)}{\sigma(x)}}dx}\right)^2 + O(1), \tag{3.26}$$

where

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} \rho_1(x), & x \in [-1,0], \\ \rho_2(x), & x \in [0,1], \end{cases} \quad and \quad \sigma(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma_1(x), & x \in [-1,0], \\ \sigma_2(x), & x \in [0,1], \end{cases}.$$

Since $\lambda_n' < \alpha_n < \lambda_{n+1} < \lambda_{n+1}'$, then by (3.26),

$$\alpha_n \sim \left(\frac{n\pi}{\gamma_1+\gamma_2}\right)^2 \tag{3.27}$$

and

$$\lambda_n \sim \left(\frac{n\pi}{\gamma_1+\gamma_2}\right)^2, \quad as \quad n \to \infty, \tag{3.28}$$

where γ_1 and γ_2 are defined by (3.18). From (3.20), we have $\lambda_n^{\epsilon} \to \mu_n$ and $G(\lambda_n^{\epsilon}) \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. Then by (3.27) and (3.28), the desired estimate (3.23) follows. Since $|\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| = |\lambda_{n+1} - \mu_n| + |\lambda_n - \mu_n|$, then by (3.23) the estimate (3.1) is proved. □

Now, we give some results concerning the asymptotic behaviors of the eigenfunctions $(\phi_n(x))_{n\geq 1}$ of the operator $\mathcal{A}$. Let $(\phi_n^u(x))_{n\geq 1}$ and $(\phi_n^v(x))_{n\geq 1}$ denote the restrictions of the eigenfunctions $(\phi_n(x))_{n\geq 1}$ in $[-1,0]$ and $[0,1]$, respectively.

Proposition 3.4 *Define the set*

$$\Lambda = \{n \in \mathbb{N}^* \text{ such that } \mu_n \in \Gamma^*\},$$

where the set Γ^* is defined by (3.4). *Then the associated eigenfunctions $(\phi_n(x))_{n\geq 1}$ of the operator $\mathcal{A}$ satisfy the following asymptotic estimates:*

i) *For* $n \in \Lambda$,

$$\begin{cases} \phi_n^u(x) = (\rho_1(x)\sigma_1(x))^{-\frac{1}{4}} \dfrac{\sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{\gamma_1}\int_{-1}^{x}\sqrt{\frac{\rho_1(t)}{\sigma_1(t)}}dt\right)}{n\pi} + O(\frac{1}{n^2}), \\ \\ \phi_n^v(x) = (\rho_2(x)\sigma_2(x))^{-\frac{1}{4}} \dfrac{\sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{\gamma_2}\int_{x}^{1}\sqrt{\frac{\rho_2(t)}{\sigma_2(t)}}dt\right)}{n\pi} + O(\frac{1}{n^2}). \end{cases} \tag{3.29}$$

where

$$\gamma_1 = \int_{-1}^{0}\sqrt{\frac{\rho_1(x)}{\sigma_1(x)}}dx \quad \textit{and} \quad \gamma_2 = \int_{0}^{1}\sqrt{\frac{\rho_2(x)}{\sigma_2(x)}}dx.$$

ii) *For* $n \in \mathbb{N}^*\backslash\Lambda$,

$$\begin{cases} \phi_n^u(x) = \frac{(\rho_2(0)\sigma_2(0)\rho_1(x)\sigma_1(x))^{-\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}\gamma_1\gamma_2}\sin\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}\gamma_2\right)\sin\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}\int_{-1}^{x}\sqrt{\frac{\rho_1(t)}{\sigma_1(t)}}dt\right) + O(\frac{1}{n^2}), \\ \\ \phi_n^v(x) = \frac{(\rho_1(0)\sigma_1(0)\rho_2(x)\sigma_2(x))^{-\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}\gamma_1\gamma_2}\sin\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}\gamma_1\right)\sin\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}\int_{x}^{1}\sqrt{\frac{\rho_2(t)}{\sigma_2(t)}}dt\right) + O(\frac{1}{n^2}), \end{cases} \tag{3.30}$$

where

$$\sqrt{\lambda_n} \sim \frac{n\pi}{\gamma_1+\gamma_2}, \ as \ \ n \longrightarrow \infty. \tag{3.31}$$

Proof. It is clear that for all $n \in \Lambda$, $u(0,\mu_n) = v(0,\mu_n) = 0$, and hence, the set of solutions of the System (3.5) for $\lambda = \mu_n$ is a two-dimensional subspace. Thus, the last condition in (2.7) is satisfied, and in this case μ_n is an eigenvalue of Problem (2.7). The corresponding eigenfunctions $(\phi_n(x))_{n\in\Lambda}$ have the form

$$\phi_n(x) = \begin{cases} u(x,\mu_n), & -1 \le x \le 0, \\ v(x,\mu_n), & 0 \le x \le 1. \end{cases} \tag{3.32}$$

It is known [[17], Chapter. 1] that the eigenvalues η_n and η_n' (of the boundary problems (3.2) and (3.3), respectively) satisfy the asymptotics

$$\begin{cases} \sqrt{\eta_n} = \frac{n\pi}{\gamma_1} + O(\frac{1}{n}), \\ \sqrt{\eta_n'} = \frac{n\pi}{\gamma_2} + O(\frac{1}{n}). \end{cases} \tag{3.33}$$

Therefore, the asymptotics (3.29) are simple deductions from the asymptotics (3.16), (3.17) and (3.33).
Now, if $n \in \mathbb{N}^*\backslash\Lambda$, then $\phi_n^u(0) = \phi_n^v(0) \neq 0$. We set

$$\phi_n(x) = \sqrt{\lambda_n}\widetilde{U}(x,\lambda_n), \tag{3.34}$$

where $\widetilde{U}(x,\lambda_n)$ is defined by (3.6). From the asymptotics (3.16) and (3.17) we obtain the asymptotics (3.30). □

4 Dirichlet boundary control

In this section, we study the boundary null-controllability of Problem (1.1)-(1.2). We do it by reducing the control problem to problem of moments. Then, we will solve this problem of moments using the theory developed in [18, 19]. Namely, we enunciate the following result:

Theorem 4.1 *Assume that the coefficients $\sigma_i(x)$, $\rho_i(x)$ and $q_i(x)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ satisfy (1.4) and (1.5). Let $T > 0$, then for any $Y^0 = (u^0, v^0, z^0) \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists a control $h(t) \in L^2(0, T)$ such that the solution $Y(t, x) = (u(t, x), v(t, x), z(t))$ of the control system (1.1)-(1.2) satisfies*

$$u(T, x) = v(T, x) = z(T) = 0.$$

For the proof of this theorem, we establish some preliminary results. Let us introduce the adjoint problem to the control system (1.1)-(1.2)

$$\begin{cases} -\rho_1(x)\widetilde{u}_t = (\sigma_1(x)\widetilde{u}_x)_x - q_1(x)\widetilde{u}, & x \in (-1, 0), \quad t > 0, \\ -\rho_2(x)\widetilde{v}_t = (\sigma_2(x)\widetilde{v}_x)_x - q_2(x)\widetilde{v}, & x \in (0, 1), \quad t > 0, \\ -M\widetilde{z}_t(t) = \sigma_2(0)\widetilde{v}_x(0, t) - \sigma_1(0)\widetilde{u}_x(0, t), & t > 0, \\ \widetilde{u}(0, t) = \widetilde{v}(0, t) = \widetilde{z}(t), & t > 0, \\ \widetilde{u}(-1, t) = 0, \widetilde{v}(1, t) = 0, & t > 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

with terminal data at $t = T > 0$ given by

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{u}(x, T) = \widetilde{u}^T(x), & x \in (-1, 0), \\ \widetilde{v}(x, T) = \widetilde{v}^T(x), & x \in (0, 1), \\ \widetilde{z}(T) = \widetilde{z}^T. \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

By letting $\widetilde{Y} = (\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}, \widetilde{z})^t$, the above problem (4.1)-(4.2) can be written as a Cauchy problem as

$$\dot{\widetilde{Y}}(t) = \mathcal{A}\widetilde{Y}(t), \quad \widetilde{Y}^T = \widetilde{Y}(T), \quad t > 0. \tag{4.3}$$

We start by mentioning the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2). Let $\widetilde{Y}^T \in \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ then $\widetilde{Y} \in C([0, T], \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2}})$ and is given by

$$\widetilde{Y}(t) = \mathbf{S}(t - T)\widetilde{Y}^T, \quad 0 \le t \le T. \tag{4.4}$$

Let Y be a weak solution of the control problem (1.1)-(1.2) with $h(t) \in L^2(0, T)$, and $\widetilde{Y}$ be a solution of the adjoint problem (4.4). Then

$$\int_{-1}^{0}\int_{0}^{T} \left(\rho_1(x)u_t(x, t) - (\sigma_1(x)u_x(x, t))_x + q_1(x)u(x, t)\right)\widetilde{u}(x, t)dxdt + \int_{0}^{1}\int_{0}^{T} \left(\rho_2(x)v_t(x, t) - (\sigma_2(x)v_x(x, t))_x + q_2(x)v(x, t)\right)\widetilde{v}(x, t)dxdt = 0$$

By a performing integrations by parts, we obtain the identity

$$< Y(T), \widetilde{Y}(T) >_{\mathcal{H}_{-\frac{1}{2}},\, \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2}}} =< Y(0), \widetilde{Y}(0) >_{\mathcal{H}} -\sigma_2(1) \int_0^T h(t)\widetilde{v}_x(1,t)dt.$$

Equivalently,

$$< Y(T), \widetilde{Y}^T >_{\mathcal{H}_{-\frac{1}{2}},\, \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2}}} =< Y(0), \mathbf{S}_T\widetilde{Y}^T >_{\mathcal{H}} -\sigma_2(1) \int_0^T h(t)\widetilde{v}_x(1,t)dt, \qquad (4.5)$$

where $< .,. >$ denotes the duality product between the two spaces $\mathcal{H}_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Let us recall that, with this regularity on h and $Y^0 \in \mathcal{H}_{-\frac{1}{2}}$, the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) is well posed in the transposition sense (See, e.g., [16]) in $C^0([0,T],\ \mathcal{H}_{-\frac{1}{2}})$: it has only one solution in this set and there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of $h(t) \in L^2(0,T)$ and $Y^0 \in \mathcal{H}_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ such that

$$\|Y\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\ \mathcal{H}_{-\frac{1}{2}})} \leq C(\|Y^0\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-\frac{1}{2}}} + \|h\|_{L^2(0,T)}).$$

This result is basically well known (see [8, Section 2.7.1]). For $\sigma_i(x)$ and $q_i(x)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ constant on each interval, the result is proven in [13] and also the proof can be extended to the variable coefficient case. The following Lemma characterizes the problem of null controllability of (1.1)-(1.2) in terms of the solution $\widetilde{Y}$ of the adjoint problem (4.3).

Lemma 4.2 *The control problem (1.1)-(1.2) is null controllable in time $T > 0$ if and only if, for any $Y^0 \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists $h(t) \in L^2(0,T)$ such that the following relation holds*

$$< Y^0, \mathbf{S}_T\widetilde{Y}^T >_{\mathcal{H}} = \sigma_2(1) \int_0^T h(t)\widetilde{v}_x(1,t)dt, \qquad (4.6)$$

for any $\widetilde{Y}^T \in \mathcal{H}$, where $\widetilde{Y}$ is the solution to the adjoint problem (4.3).

Proof. First we assume that (4.6) is verified. Then by (4.5) it follows that $Y(T) = 0$. Hence, the solution is controllable to zero and $h(t)$ is a control of Problem (1.1)-(1.2). Reciprocally, if $h(t)$ is a control of Problem (1.1)-(1.2), we have that $Y(T) = 0$. From (4.5) it follows that (4.6) holds. □

From the previous Lemma we deduce the following result:

Proposition 4.3 *Problem (1.1)-(1.2) is null-controllable in time $T > 0$ if and only if for any $Y^0 \in \mathcal{H}$, with Fourier expansion*

$$\begin{aligned} Y^0 &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*} Y_n^0\phi_n(x) \\ &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*} u_n^0\phi_n^u(x) + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*} v_n^0\phi_n^v(x) + M\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*} z_n^0\phi_n^v(0), \end{aligned}$$

there exists a function $w(t) \in L^2(0,T)$ *such that for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$\frac{u_n^0 \|\phi_n^u(x)\|^2_{L^2([-1,0],\rho_1(x))} + v_n^0 \|\phi_n^v(x)\|^2_{L^2([0,1],\rho_2(x))} + M z_n^0 |\phi_n^v(0)|^2}{\sigma_2(1)(\phi_n^v)_x(1)} e^{-\lambda_n T} = \int_0^T w(t) e^{-\lambda_n t} dt. \qquad (4.7)$$

The control is given by $w(t) := h(T-t)$.

Proof. From the previous Lemma we know that $h(t) \in L^2(0,T)$ is a control of Problem (1.1)-(1.2) if and only if it satisfies (4.6). But, since the eigenfunctions $(\phi_n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ of the operator $\mathcal{A}$ forms an orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{H}$, we have that any initial data $Y^0 \in \mathcal{H}$ for the control problem (1.1)-(1.2) can be expressed as

$$Y^0 = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*} u_n^0 \phi_n^u(x) + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*} v_n^0 \phi_n^v(x) + M \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*} z_n^0 \phi_n^v(0)$$

where

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*} \mid Y_n^0 \mid^2 = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*} \mid u_n^0 \mid^2 + \mid v_n^0 \mid^2 + M \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*} \mid z_n^0 \mid^2 < \infty.$$

On the other hand, we observe that $\widetilde{Y}_n(t,x) = e^{-\lambda_n(T-t)}\phi_n(x)$ is the eigensolution of the adjoint problem (4.3). The eigenfunctions of the operator $\mathcal{A}$ give the following solutions

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{u}_n(x,t) &= e^{-\lambda_n(T-t)}\phi_n^u(x), \\ \widetilde{v}_n(x,t) &= e^{-\lambda_n(T-t)}\phi_n^v(x), \\ \widetilde{z}_n(t) &= e^{-\lambda_n(T-t)}\phi_n^v(0). \end{aligned}$$

We put these solutions in Equation (4.6) to obtain the moment problem (4.7).
The proof ends by taking $w(t) = h(T-t)$. □

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 4.1.
Proof of Theorem 4.1. From the asymptotics (3.29) and (3.30), it is easy to show that for large $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ there exists a constant $\mathbf{c} > 0$ such that

$$\|\phi_n(x)\|^2 = \|\phi_n^u(x)\|^2_{L^2([-1,0],\rho_1(x))} + \|\phi_n^v(x)\|^2_{L^2([0,1],\rho_2(x))} + M|\phi_n^v(0)|^2 \leq \frac{\mathbf{c}}{n^2}.$$

It is clear from the initial condition of Problem (2.9) and the expression (3.32), if $n \in \Lambda$, then

$$(\phi_n^v)_x(1) = v'(1,\mu_n) = -1.$$

Now, if $n \in \mathbb{N}^*\backslash\Lambda$, then from the expression (3.6), we have $(\phi_n^v)_x(1) = u(0,\lambda_n)v'(1,\lambda_n)$. Since $u(0,\lambda_n) \neq 0$ and $v'(1,\lambda_n) = -1$, then $(\phi_n^v)_x(1) = u(0,\lambda_n) \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*\backslash\Lambda$. By using the asymptotics (3.16) and (3.30), we obtain

$$(\phi_n^v)_x(1) = \frac{-(\rho_1(0)\sigma_1(0))^{-\frac{1}{4}}}{\gamma_1} \sin\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}\gamma_1\right) + O(\frac{1}{n}).$$

By (3.31), if $\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_1+\gamma_2} \in \mathbb{Q}$, then there exists a subsequence $\{n\}$ approaching infinity such that $(\phi_n^v)_x(1)$ is of order $O(\frac{1}{n})$.
Consequently, there exists a constant $C(n) > 0$ such that

$$\Big| \frac{u_n^0 \|\phi_n^u(x)\|^2_{L^2([-1,0],\rho_1(x))} + v_n^0 \|\phi_n^v(x)\|^2_{L^2([0,1],\rho_2(x))} + M z_n^0 (\phi_n^v(0))^2}{\sigma_2(1)(\phi_n^v)_x(1)} e^{-\lambda_n T} \Big| \leq C(n) e^{-\lambda_n T}.$$

On the other hand, by the asymptotic (3.28), we have

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} < \infty. \tag{4.8}$$

From (4.8) together with Theorem 3.1, there exists a biorthogonal sequence $(\theta_n(t))_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ to the family of exponential functions $(e^{-\lambda_n t})_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ (see [19, 20]), such that

$$\int_0^T \theta_n(t) e^{-\lambda_m t} dt = \delta_{nm} = \begin{cases} 1, & if \quad n = m, \\ 0, & if \quad n \neq m. \end{cases}$$

Again by using the asymptotic (3.28) together with [18, Corollary 3.2], we deduce that there exists constants $M > 0$ and $\omega > 0$ such that

$$\| \theta_m(t) \|_{L^2(0,T)} \leq M e^{\omega m}, \ m \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

It is easy to see that the above implies the convergence of the series

$$w(t) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*} \frac{u_n^0 \|\phi_n^u(x)\|^2_{L^2([-1,0],\rho_1(x))} + v_n^0 \|\phi_n^v(x)\|^2_{L^2([0,1],\rho_2(x))} + M z_n^0 \mid \phi_n^v(0) \mid^2}{\sigma_2(1)(\phi_n^v)_x(1)} e^{-\lambda_n T} \theta_n(t)$$

which provides a solution to the moment problem (4.7). □

5 Neumann boundary control

In this section we study the Neumann boundary null-controllability of Problem (1.1)-(1.3). The well-posedness of the problem (1.1) with the homogeneous Neumann boundary condition

$$v_x(1,t) = 0, \tag{5.1}$$

can be analyzed similarly to that of the Dirichlet case described in Section 2. As before, we define $\mathcal{H} = L^2(-1,0) \times L^2(0,1) \times \mathbb{R}$ with the scalar product defined by (2.4). We set

$$\mathcal{V} = \{(u,v) \in \mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathcal{V}_2 \ \mid \ u(0) = v(0)\},$$

where $\mathcal{V}_2 = H^1(0,1)$ and $\mathcal{V}_1$ is defined by (2.1). Define

$$\mathcal{W} = \{(u,v,z) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{R} : u(0) = v(0) = z\}, \tag{5.2}$$

equipped with norm $\|(u,v,z)\|^2_{\mathcal{W}} = \|(u,v)\|^2_{\mathcal{V}}$. We associate System (1.1)-(1.3) with the self-adjoint operator $\mathcal{A}$ defined in $\mathcal{H}$ by (2.5). The domain $D(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$ and is given by

$$D(\mathcal{A}) = \{Y = (u,v,z) \in \mathcal{W} \ : \ (u,v) \in H^2(-1,0) \times H^2(0,1), \ \ v_x(1) = 0\}.$$

Now, we consider the following spectral problem associated with System (1.1)-(5.1)

$$\begin{cases} -(\sigma_1(x)u')' + q_1(x)u = \nu\rho_1(x)u, & x \in (-1,0), \\ -(\sigma_2(x)v')' + q_2(x)v = \nu\rho_2(x)v, & x \in (0,1), \\ u(-1) = v'(1) = 0, \ u(0) = v(0), \\ \sigma_1(0)u'(0) - \sigma_2(0)v'(0) = \nu M u(0). \end{cases} \tag{5.3}$$

Following the idea of the proof of Lemma 2.2, we can prove that the spectrum of System (5.3) is discrete and it consists of an increasing sequence of positive and simple eigenvalues $(\nu_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ tending to $+\infty$

$$0 < \nu_1 < \nu_2 < ..... < \nu_n < ..... \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \infty.$$

Moreover, the corresponding eigenfunctions $(\phi_n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ form an orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{H}$. The point mass system (1.1) with the homogeneous Neumann boundary condition (5.1) can be written as

$$\dot{Y}(t) = -\mathcal{A}Y(t), \ \ Y^0 = Y(0), \ \ t > 0, \tag{5.4}$$

where $Y^0 = (u(0), v(0), z(0))^t$. The well-posedness can be verified by semigroup techniques as in Section 2.
We discuss now the asymptotic behavior of the spectral gap $\nu_{n+1} - \nu_n$ for large n.

Theorem 5.1 *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there is a constant $\delta_2 > 0$ such that the sequence of eigenvalues $(\nu_n)_{n\geq 1}$ of the spectral problem* (5.3) *satisfy the asymptotic*

$$\nu_{n+1} - \nu_n \geq \delta_2, \ n \in \mathbb{N}^*. \tag{5.5}$$

Let $\Gamma = \{\mu_n\}_1^\infty = \{\eta_j\}_1^\infty \bigcup \{\zeta'_k\}_1^\infty$ where η_j and ζ'_k are the eigenvalues of Problem (3.2) and the following boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -(\sigma_2(x)y')' + q_2(x)y = \nu\rho_2(x)y, x \in (0,\ 1), \\ y(0) = y'(1) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{5.6}$$

respectively. Obviously, η_j and ζ'_k can be coincide. Let

$$\Gamma^* = \{\mu_n \in \Gamma \setminus \eta_j = \zeta'_k, \ j, \ k \in \mathbb{N}^*\}. \tag{5.7}$$

We consider the following boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -(\sigma_1(x)u')' + q_1(x)u = \nu\rho_1(x)u, x \in (-1,\ 0), \\ -(\sigma_2(x)v')' + q_2(x)v = \nu\rho_2(x)v, x \in (0,\ 1), \\ u(-1) = v'(1) = 0, \\ u(0) = v(0). \end{cases} \tag{5.8}$$

It is clear that for $\nu \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\Gamma^*$, the set of solutions of Problem (5.8) is one dimensional subspace which is generated by a solution of the form

$$\widetilde{U}(x,\nu) = \begin{cases} v(0,\nu)u(x,\nu), & -1 \le x \le 0, \\ u(0,\nu)v(x,\nu), & 0 \le x \le 1, \end{cases} \tag{5.9}$$

where $u(x,\nu)$ and $v(x,\nu)$ are solutions of Problem (2.8) and the following initial problem

$$\begin{cases} -(\sigma_2(x)v')' + q_2(x)v = \nu\rho_2(x)v, & x \in (0,\,1), \\ v'(1) = 0, v(1) = 1, \end{cases} \tag{5.10}$$

respectively. Note that $u(0,\nu) \neq 0$ and $v(0,\nu) \neq 0$ for $\nu \in (\mu_n, \mu_{n+1})$, since otherwise ν would be an eigenvalue of one of Problems (3.2) and (5.6). As in Section 3, we introduce the related meromorphic function

$$F(\nu) = \frac{\sigma_1(0)v(0)u_x(0^-) - \sigma_2(0)u(0)v_x(0^+)}{u(0)v(0)}, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\Gamma. \tag{5.11}$$

Clearly, the eigenvalues ν_n, $n \ge 1$ of Problem (5.3) are the solutions of the equation

$$F(\nu) = M\nu. \tag{5.12}$$

Lemma 5.2 *The function $F(\nu)$ is decreasing along the intervals $(-\infty, \mu_1)$ and (μ_n, μ_{n+1}), $n \ge 1$ (with $\mu_n \neq \mu_{n+1}$). Furthermore, it decreases from $+\infty$ to $-\infty$.*

Proof. The first statement about the monotonicity decreasing of the function $F(\nu)$ can be shown in a same way as the proof of Lemma 3.2. It is known (e.g., [17, Chapter. 1] and [10, Chapter. 2]), for $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|\nu| \to \infty$ that

$$\begin{cases} v(x,\nu) = (\rho_2(x)\sigma_2(x))^{-\frac{1}{4}} \cos(\sqrt{\nu}\int_x^1 \sqrt{\frac{\rho_2(t)}{\sigma_2(t)}}dt)[1], \\ v_x(x,\nu) = -\sqrt{\nu}\,(\rho_2(x))^{\frac{1}{4}}\,(\sigma_2(x))^{-\frac{3}{4}} \sin(\sqrt{\nu}\int_x^1 \sqrt{\frac{\rho_2(t)}{\sigma_2(t)}}dt)[1], \end{cases} \tag{5.13}$$

where $[1] = 1 + O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\nu|}})$. By use of (3.16) and (5.13) a straightforward calculation gives the following asymptotic

$$F(\nu) \sim \sqrt{|\nu|}\big(\sqrt{\rho_1(0)\sigma_1(0)} + \sqrt{\rho_2(0)\sigma_2(0)}\big), \quad as \quad \nu \to -\infty. \tag{5.14}$$

The proof of the two limits

$$\lim_{\nu\to\mu_n^+} F(\nu) = +\infty, \qquad \lim_{\nu\to\mu_{n+1}^-} F(\nu) = -\infty, \tag{5.15}$$

is similar to that of Lemma 3.2. □

From this Lemma, it is clear that the poles and the zeros of $F(\nu)$ coincide with the eigenvalues $(\mu_n)_{n\ge1}$ and the eigenvalues $(\nu_n')_{n\ge1}$ of the regular problem (5.3) (for $M = 0$). As a consequence of Lemma 5.2, it follows the following interpolation formulas:

Corollary 5.3 *Let ν'_n, $n \geq 1$ denote the eigenvalues of the regular problem* (5.3) *for* $M = 0$. *If* $\mu_n \neq \mu_{n+1}$, *then*

$$\nu_1 < \nu'_1 < \mu_1 \text{ and } \mu_n < \nu_{n+1} < \nu'_{n+1} < \mu_{n+1},\ n \geq 1.$$

Proof of Theorem 5.1. The proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. We have only to use instead of the asymptotes (3.26), (3.27) and (3.28), the following asymptotic estimates (see [10, Chapter. 2])

$$\nu'_n = \left(\frac{(n+\frac{1}{2})\pi}{\int_{-1}^{1} \sqrt{\frac{\rho(x)}{\sigma(x)}} dx} \right)^2 + O(1), \tag{5.16}$$

where

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} \rho_1(x), & x \in [-1,0], \\ \rho_2(x), & x \in [0,1], \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma_1(x), & x \in [-1,0], \\ \sigma_2(x), & x \in [0,1]. \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha_n \sim \left(\frac{(2n+1)\pi}{2(\gamma_1+\gamma_2)} \right)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_n \sim \left(\frac{(2n+1)\pi}{2(\gamma_1+\gamma_2)} \right)^2, \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{5.17}$$

□

Now, we give some results concerning the asymptotic behaviors of the eigenfunctions $(\phi_n(x))_{n\geq 1}$ of Problem (5.3).

Proposition 5.4 *Define the set*

$$\Lambda = \{ n \in \mathbb{N}^* \text{ such that } \mu_n \in \Gamma^* \},$$

where the set Γ^* *is defined by* (5.7). *Then the associated eigenfunctions* $(\phi_n(x))_{n\geq 1}$ *of the operator* $\mathcal{A}$ *satisfy the following asymptotic estimates:*

i) *For* $n \in \Lambda$,

$$\begin{cases} \phi_n^u(x) = (\rho_1(x)\sigma_1(x))^{-\frac{1}{4}} \dfrac{\sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{\gamma_1} \int_{-1}^{x} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_1(t)}{\sigma_1(t)}} dt \right)}{n\pi} + O(\frac{1}{n^2}), \\ \phi_n^v(x) = (\rho_2(x)\sigma_2(x))^{-\frac{1}{4}} \cos\left(\frac{(2n+1)\pi}{2\gamma_2} \int_{x}^{1} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_2(t)}{\sigma_2(t)}} dt \right) + O(\frac{1}{n}). \end{cases} \tag{5.18}$$

ii) *For* $n \in \mathbb{N}^* \backslash \Lambda$,

$$\begin{cases} \phi_n^u(x) = \dfrac{(\rho_2(0)\sigma_2(0)\rho_1(x)\sigma_1(x))^{-\frac{1}{4}} \cos\left(\sqrt{\nu_n}\gamma_2\right)}{\sqrt{\nu_n}\gamma_1} \sin\left(\sqrt{\nu_n} \int_{-1}^{x} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_1(t)}{\sigma_1(t)}} dt \right) + O(\frac{1}{n^2}), \\ \phi_n^v(x) = \dfrac{(\rho_1(0)\sigma_1(0)\rho_2(x)\sigma_2(x))^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sin\left(\sqrt{\nu_n}\gamma_1\right)}{\sqrt{\nu_n}\gamma_1} \cos\left(\sqrt{\nu_n} \int_{x}^{1} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_2(t)}{\sigma_2(t)}} dt \right) + O(\frac{1}{n^2}), \end{cases} \tag{5.19}$$

where

$$\sqrt{\nu_n} \sim \frac{(2n+1)\pi}{2(\gamma_1+\gamma_2)}, \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, the eigenfunctions $\phi_n^v(1)$ are given as follows

$$\begin{cases} \phi_n^v(1) = 1 & n \in \Lambda, \\ \phi_n^v(1) = \dfrac{(\rho_1(0)\sigma_1(0))^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sin\left(\sqrt{\nu_n}\gamma_1\right)}{\sqrt{\nu_n}\gamma_1} + O(\frac{1}{n^2}), & n \in \mathbb{N}^* \backslash \Lambda. \end{cases} \tag{5.20}$$

We may now state our main result.

Theorem 5.5 *Assume that the coefficients $\sigma_i(x)$, $\rho_i(x)$ and $q_i(x)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ satisfy (1.4) and (1.5). Let $T > 0$, then for any $Y^0 = (u^0, v^0, z^0) \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists a control $h(t) \in L^2(0, T)$ such that the solution $Y(t, x) = (u(t, x), v(t, x), z(t))$ of the control system (1.1)-(1.3) satisfies*

$$u(T, x) = v(T, x) = z(T) = 0.$$

Let us introduce the adjoint problem to the control system (1.1)-(1.3)

$$\begin{cases} -\rho_1(x)\widetilde{u}_t = (\sigma_1(x)\widetilde{u}_x)_x - q_1(x)\widetilde{u}, & x \in (-1, 0), \quad t > 0, \\ -\rho_2(x)\widetilde{v}_t = (\sigma_2(x)\widetilde{v}_x)_x - q_2(x)\widetilde{v}, & x \in (0, 1), \quad t > 0, \\ -M\widetilde{z}_t(t) = \sigma_2(0)\widetilde{v}_x(0, t) - \sigma_1(0)\widetilde{u}_x(0, t), & t > 0, \\ \widetilde{u}(0, t) = \widetilde{v}(0, t) = \widetilde{z}(t), & t > 0, \\ \widetilde{u}(-1, t) = 0, \widetilde{v}_x(1, t) = 0, & t > 0, \end{cases} \tag{5.21}$$

with terminal data at $t = T > 0$ is given by

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{u}(x, T) = \widetilde{u}^T(x), & x \in (-1, 0), \\ \widetilde{v}(x, T) = \widetilde{v}^T(x), & x \in (0, 1), \\ \widetilde{z}(T) = \widetilde{z}^T. \end{cases} \tag{5.22}$$

By letting $\widetilde{Y} = (\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}, \widetilde{z})^t$, the above problem (5.21)-(5.22) can be written as a Cauchy problem as

$$\dot{\widetilde{Y}}(t) = \mathcal{A}\widetilde{Y}(t), \quad \widetilde{Y}^T = \widetilde{Y}(T) \in \mathcal{H}, \quad t > 0. \tag{5.23}$$

Then $\widetilde{Y} \in C([0, T],\ \mathcal{H})$ and is given by

$$\widetilde{Y}(t) = \mathbf{S}(t - T)\widetilde{Y}^T, \quad 0 \le t \le T. \tag{5.24}$$

Let Y be a weak solution of the control problem (1.1)-(1.3) with $h(t) \in L^2(0, T)$. Then by integrations by parts, we obtain

$$< Y(T), \widetilde{Y}^T >_{\mathcal{H}} = < Y(0), \mathbf{S}_T\widetilde{Y}^T >_{\mathcal{H}} + \sigma_2(1) \int_0^T h(t)\widetilde{v}(1, t)dt. \tag{5.25}$$

The Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.3) is well posed in the set $C^0([0, T], \mathcal{H})$: it has only one solution in this set and there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of $h(t) \in L^2(0, T)$ and $Y^0 \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\|Y\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{H})} \le C(\|Y^0\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|h\|_{L^2(0,T)}).$$

As in Section 4, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.6 *The control problem (1.1)-(1.3) is null controllable in time $T > 0$ if and only if, for any $Y^0 \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists $h(t) \in L^2(0,T)$ such that the following relation holds*

$$< Y^0, \mathbf{S}_T \widetilde{Y}^T >_{\mathcal{H}} = -\sigma_2(1) \int_0^T h(t) \widetilde{v}_x(1,t) dt, \tag{5.26}$$

for any $\widetilde{Y}^T \in \mathcal{H}$, where $\widetilde{Y}$ is the solution to the adjoint problem (5.23).

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.2 □

Following the ideas of Proposition 4.3, we can prove the following result.

Proposition 5.7 *Problem (1.1)-(1.3) is null-controllable in time $T > 0$ if and only if for any $Y^0 \in \mathcal{H}$, with Fourier expansion*

$$\begin{aligned} Y^0(x) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} Y_n^0 \phi_n(x) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} u_n^0 \phi_n^u(x) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} v_n^0 \phi_n^v(x) + M \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} z_n^0 \phi_n^v(0), \end{aligned}$$

there exists a function $w(t) \in L^2(0,T)$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$-\frac{u_n^0 \|\phi_n^u(x)\|^2_{L^2([-1,0],\rho_1(x))} + v_n^0 \|\phi_n^v(x)\|^2_{L^2([0,1],\rho_2(x))} + M z_n^0 |\phi_n^v(0)|^2}{\sigma_2(1)\phi_n^v(1)} e^{-\lambda_n T} = \int_0^T w(t) e^{-\lambda_n t} dt. \tag{5.27}$$

The control is given by $w(t) := h(T-t)$.

Proof of Theorem 5.5. The proof is a direct consequence of Theorem 5.1 and the existence of the biorthogonal sequence $(\theta_n(t))_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ to the family of exponential functions $(e^{-\lambda_n T})_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$.

□

References

[1] G. Alessandrini and L. Escauriaza, Null-controllability of one-dimensional parabolic equations, ESAIM, 14(2):284–293, 2008.

[2] A. Benabdallah, Y. Dermenjian, and J. Le Rousseau, *Carleman estimates for the one-dimensional heat equation with a discontinuous coeffcient and applications to controllability and an inverse problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 336(2):865–887, 2007.

[3] J. Ben Amara, A. A. Shkalikov, *A Sturm-LiouvilleProblem With Physical and Spectral Parameters in BoundaryConditions*, Math. Notes., 66(2):163–172, 1999.

[4] C. Castro, Asymptotic analysis and control of a hybrid system composed by two vibrating strings connected by a point mass. ESAIM. vol 2, 231-280, (1997).

[5] C. Castro and E. Zuazua. Boundary controllability of hybrid system consisiting in two flexible beams connected by a point mass. SIAM, (1998).

[6] P. Cannarsa, P. Martinez, and J. Vancostenoble.*Persistent regional null controllability for a class of degenerate parabolic equations*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 3(4):607–635, 2004.

[7] P. Cannarsa, P. Martinez, and J. Vancostenoble, *Carleman estimates for a class of degenerate parabolic operators*, SIAM, 47(1):1–19, 2008.

[8] J. M. Coron,*Control and nonlinearity*, vol. 136 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, American Mathematical Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.

[9] E. Fernández-Cara and E. Zuazua, *On the null controllability of the one-dimensional heat equation with BV coefficients*, Comput. Appl. Math, 167–190, 2002.

[10] M. V. Fedoryuk, *Asymptotic Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 1983.

[11] A. V. Fursikov and O. Y. Imanuvilov, *Controllability of evolution equations*, ser. Lecture Notes Series. Seoul National University, Research Institute of Mathematics, vol. 34, 1996.

[12] S. Hansen and J. Martinez, *Modeling of a heat equation with Dirac density*, Proceedings of Dynamic Systems and Applications, Volume-7, 2016.

[13] S. Hansen and J. J. Martinez, *Null boundary controllability of a 1-dimensional heat equation with an internal point mass*, arXiv:1506.07940, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.07940`, 2015.

[14] A. Hurwitz, R. Courant, *Theory of functions*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1964.

[15] A. López and E. Zuazua, *Uniform null controllability for the one dimensional heat equation with rapidly oscillating periodic density*, Annales IHP, 19(5):543–580, 2002.

[16] J. L. Lions and E. Magenes. *Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et applications*, Dunod, 1968.

[17] B. M. Levitan, I. C. Sargsyan, *Introduction to spectral theory*, AMS, 1975.

[18] D. Russell and H. O. Fattorini, *Exact controllability theorems for linear parabolic equations in one space dimension*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 43(4):272–292, 1971.

[19] D. Russell and H. O. Fattorini, *Uniform bounds on biorthogonal functions for real exponentials with an application to the control theory of parabolic equations*, Quart. Appl. Math. 32:45–69, 1974.

[20] L. Schwartz, *Étude des sommes d'exponentielles*, Hermann, Paris, 1959.

[21] M. Tucsnak and G. Weiss, *Observation and Control for Operator Semigroups*, Birkhäuser Advanced Texts, Birkhäuser, Basel, Switzerland, 2009.

[22] J. Vancostenoble and E. Zuazua. *Null controllability for the heat equation with singular inverse-square potentials*, J. Funct. Anal., 254(7):1864–1902, 2008.

[23] E. Zuazua and S. Hansen, *Exact controllability and stabilization of a vibrating string with an interior point mass*, SIAM J. Control Optim. 33:1357-1391, (1995).